CMSC 35900 (Spring 2008) Learning Theory

Lecture: 12

VC Dimension of Multilayer Neural Networks, Range Queries

Instructors: Sham Kakade and Ambuj Tewari

1 Properties of Growth Function

We had defined the growth function for function class containing $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued functions. The definition easily generalizes to the case when the functions take value in some finite set \mathcal{Y} . Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{X}}$ be a class of \mathcal{Y} -valued functions. Define

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(m) := \max_{x_1^m \in \mathcal{X}^m} |\mathcal{F}_{|x_1^m}| \,.$$

Note that $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(m) \leq |\mathcal{Y}|^m$. We now establish two elementary lemmas that will prove useful while bounding the VC dimension of multilayer neural networks.

Lemma 1.1. Let $\mathcal{F}^{(1)} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_1^{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(2)} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_2^{\mathcal{X}}$ be two function classes. Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{(1)} \times \mathcal{F}^{(2)}$ be their cartesian product. Then we have,

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(m) \le \Pi_{\mathcal{F}^{(1)}}(m) \cdot \Pi_{\mathcal{F}^{(2)}}(m) .$$

Proof. Fix x_1^m . By definition of cartesian product,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}_{|x_1^m|} &= |\mathcal{F}_{|u_1^m|}^{(1)} \cdot |\mathcal{F}_{|v_1^m|}^{(2)} \\ &\leq \Pi_{\mathcal{F}^{(1)}}(m) \cdot \Pi_{\mathcal{F}^{(2)}}(m) \end{aligned}$$

Since x_1^m was arbitrary, this proves the lemma.

Lemma 1.2. Let $\mathcal{F}^{(1)} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_1^{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(2)} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_2^{\mathcal{Y}_1}$ be two function classes. Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{(2)} \circ \mathcal{F}^{(1)}$ be their composition. *The we have,*

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(m) \le \Pi_{\mathcal{F}^{(2)}}(m) \cdot \Pi_{\mathcal{F}^{(1)}}(m) .$$

Proof. Fix $x_1^m \in \mathcal{X}^m$. By definition of \mathcal{F} , we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{|x_1^m} = \left\{ \left(f_2(f_1(x_1)), \dots, f_2(f_1(x_m)) \right) \middle| f_1 \in \mathcal{F}^{(1)}, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}^{(2)} \right\}$$
$$= \bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{F}_{|x_1^m}^{(1)}} \left\{ \left(f_2(u_1), \dots, f_2(u_m) \right) \middle| f_2 \in \mathcal{F}^{(2)} \right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}_{|x_{1}^{m}|} &\leq \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}_{|x_{1}^{m}|}^{(1)}} \left| \left\{ \left(f_{2}(u_{1}), \dots, f_{2}(u_{m}) \right) \middle| f_{2} \in \mathcal{F}^{(2)} \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}_{|x_{1}^{m}|}^{(1)}} \Pi_{\mathcal{F}^{(2)}}(m) \\ &= |\mathcal{F}_{|x_{1}^{m}|}^{(1)} \cdot \Pi_{\mathcal{F}^{(2)}}(m) \\ &\leq \Pi_{\mathcal{F}^{(2)}}(m) \cdot \Pi_{\mathcal{F}^{(1)}}(m) . \end{aligned}$$

Since x_1^m was arbitrary, this proves the lemma.

2 VC Dimension of Multilayer Neural Networks

In general, a node ν in a neural network computes a function

$$\sigma(w^{(\nu)} \cdot x - \theta^{(\nu)})$$

of its input x. The function σ is called the *activation function*. Some examples are:

$$\begin{split} \sigma(t) &= \mathrm{sgn}(t) & \text{Binary} \\ \sigma(t) &= \frac{1}{1 + e^{-}t} & \text{Sigmoidal} \\ \sigma(t) &= \arctan(t) & \text{Sigmoidal} \end{split}$$

We will consider multilayer neural networks with binary activation function. Somewhat different techniques are needed to get VC dimension bound for networks with sigmoidal activation functions.

Suppose the input space $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^{d_0}$. A multilayer net with *l* layers is simply a composition

$$f_l \circ \ldots \circ f_2 \circ f_1(x)$$

where

$$f_i : \mathbb{R}^{d_{i-1}} \to \{\pm 1\}^{d_i},$$
 $1 \le i \le l-1$
 $f_l : \mathbb{R}^{d_{l-1}} \to \{\pm 1\}.$

Moreover, each component function $f_{i,j}: \mathbb{R}^{d_{i-1}} \to \{\pm 1\}$ is computed as

$$f_{i,j}(u) = \operatorname{sgn}(w^{i,j} \cdot u - \theta^{i,j}),$$

where $w^{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{i-1}}, \theta^{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ are the set of *weights* associated with the *j*th node in layer *i*. So, if denote the class of functions (as we vary the weights) computed by this node by $\mathcal{F}^{(i,j)}$, then the class of function associated with layer *i* is simply

$$\mathcal{F}^{(i)} = \mathcal{F}^{(i,1)} \times \mathcal{F}^{(i,2)} \times \ldots \times \mathcal{F}^{(i,d_i)}$$

and the class of functions associated with the entire network is

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{(l)} \circ \ldots \circ \mathcal{F}^{(2)} \circ \mathcal{F}^{(1)}$$
.

Thus, we can bound the growth function of \mathcal{F} , using Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2, as follows.

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(m) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{l} \Pi_{\mathcal{F}^{(i)}}(m)$$
$$\leq \prod_{i=1}^{l} \prod_{j=1}^{d_{i}} \Pi_{\mathcal{F}^{(i,j)}}(m)$$
$$\leq \prod_{i=1}^{l} \prod_{j=1}^{d_{i}} \left(\frac{me}{d_{i-1}+1}\right)^{d_{i-1}+1}$$

where the last inequality follows by Sauer's lemma and the fact that the VC dimension of halfspaces in d dimensions is d + 1. If we define

$$N := \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{i-1}} (d_{i-1} + 1)$$

to be the total number of parameters in the net, then the above inequality implies that

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(m) \le (me)^N \,. \tag{1}$$

Now it easy to bound the VC dimension of \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 2.1. Let \mathcal{F} denote the class of functions computed a multilayer neural network as defined above. Then $\operatorname{VCdim}(\mathcal{F}) = O(N \log_2(N))$.

Proof. Let there be a set of size m that is shattered. Then $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(m) = 2^m$. Combining this with (1), we get

 $2^m \leq (me)^N$.

In order to satisfy this inequality m should be $O(N \log_2(N))$.

3 VC Dimension and Range Queries

Definition 3.1. A range space is a pair (S, \mathcal{R}) where S is a finite or infinite set and \mathcal{R} is a collection of subsets of S. **Definition 3.2.** A finite set $X \subseteq S$ is shattered by \mathcal{R} if

$$X \cap \mathcal{R} := \{X \cap R \mid R \in \mathcal{R}\} = 2^X$$

Definition 3.3. The Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension of (S, \mathcal{R}) is the size of a largest shattered set.

Range queries are very important in computational geometry. An algorithm that answers range queries works as follows. Given a finite set X and query region Q,

$$X \cap Q = \emptyset \Rightarrow$$
 Algorithm outputs NO

 $X \cap Q \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow$ Algorithm outputs a witness $x \in S \cap Q$

Usually, the region Q is given in some compact form.

For example, consider the case where we have a finite set $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ with $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Our algorithm will preprocess X using some randomness and parameters ϵ and δ . With probability at least $1 - \delta$, for all queries Q of the form $S_{c,r}$, where

$$S_{c,r} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \|x - c\| \le r \right\}$$

is the closed hypersphere with center c and radius r, the algorithm has the following behavior,

 $X \cap S_{c,r} = \emptyset \Rightarrow$ Algorithm outputs NO

 $X \cap S_{c,r} \geq \epsilon n \Rightarrow \text{With probability at least } 1 - \delta,$

Algorithm outputs a x_i such that $||x_i - c|| \le r$

Surprisingly, the algorithm runs in time $O\left(\frac{d^2}{\epsilon}\log\frac{d}{\epsilon} + \frac{d}{\epsilon}\log\frac{1}{\delta}\right)$, which is independent of n!

Theorem 3.4. Let (S, \mathcal{R}) be a range space with VC-dimension d, and let $X \subseteq S$ have size n. Suppose N is a random sample of size m drawn from X. If we choose m such that

$$m \ge \max\left\{\frac{8d}{\epsilon}\log\frac{8d}{\epsilon}, \frac{4}{\epsilon}\log\frac{2}{\delta}
ight\}$$

then, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, N is such that

$$\forall R \in \mathcal{R}, \ |R \cap X| \ge \epsilon n \Rightarrow R \cap N \neq \emptyset.$$

The algorithms works as follows. It preprocesses X simply by creating a subset N by drawing

$$O\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\log\frac{d}{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\log\frac{1}{\delta}\right)$$

random points from S. On input c, r, if one of these points lies within distance r of c, output that point else say NO. Note that VC dimension of hyperspheres in \mathbb{R}^d is at most d+2. This follows from a theorem we proved last time. The promised time bound now follows by using the above theorem applied to the range space

$$\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \left\{S_{c,r} \mid c \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, r \in \mathbb{R}\right\}\right)$$

and the fact that calculating distances in \mathbb{R}^d takes O(d) time.